1 2 3 4 5	Jack P. Burden, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 6918 BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN 3050 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, NV 89117 (702) 872-5555 (702) 872-5545 jburden@backuslaw.com Attorneys for Defendants, New Albertson's, Inc.
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
9	•
10	MICHELE A. JOSEPH, individually, DEMETRIUS JOSEPH, individually,) Case No.
11	Plaintiffs,)
12	vs.
13	NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC., a foreign corporation dba SAV-ON DRUGS; DOES I through X; inclusive and ROE I through X,
15	inclusive.
16	Defendants.)
17)
18	PETITION FOR REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION
19	Petitioner NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC., doing business as SAV-ON DRUGS by and
20	through its attorneys of Backus, Carranza & Burden, and hereby submits and respectfully shows:
21	I.
22	NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC is a Defendant in the above entitled action.
23	II.
24	The above entitled action was commenced in the Eight Judicial District Court in and for
25	Clark County, District of Nevada, and is now pending in that Court. Process was served upon
	,

10			
1	Petitioner through a process server on or about January 31, 2012. Copies of the Summons and		
2	Complaint are attached as Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B" respectively. The Complaint is the first		
3	paper received by Petitioner by which removability may be ascertained.		
4	III.		
5	This Petition is filed timely pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).		
6	IV.		
7	This instant matter is a civil action over which this Court has diversity jurisdiction under		
8	the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), and is one in which may be removed to this Court by		
9	Petitioner, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).		
10	\mathbf{v} .		
11	Petitioner NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC. is informed, believes and thereon alleges that		
12	Plaintiff, MICHELE A. JOSEPH and DEMETRIUS JOSEPH, is and was at all times relevant		
13	herein, residents of the State of Nevada.		
14	VI.		
15	Petitioner NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC. is and was at the time this action was commenced		
16	an Ohio Corporation with its principal place of business in Boise, Idaho.		
17	VII.		
18	The above-entitled civil action is for personal injuries Plaintiffs allegedly received as a		
19	result of ingesting prescription medication that was not intended for and/or incorrectly dispensed		
20	by the Petitioner's pharmacy which is located at the Albertson's store 1001 S. Rainbow		
21	Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada.		
22	XIII.		
23	A copy of Defendant's Petition for Removal, seeking removal of the above entitled action		
24	to the United States District Court, District of Nevada, together with a copy of the Summons and		
25	Complaint, has been filed under a Notice of Removal with the Eighth Judicial District Court in		

and for Clark County, Nevada.

IX.

Copies of all pleadings and papers served upon Petitioner in the above-entitled action are filed herewith as Exhibits "A" and "B."

X.

This Petition is filed with this Court within thirty (30) days after Petitioner's receipt if Plaintiffs' Complaint, served January 31, 2012. Exhibit "A." Plaintiffs seek general and compensatory damages as well as medical special damages relating to claims for: (1) negligence; (2) negligent hiring; (3) corporate negligence/vicarious liability and (4) loss of consortium. Plaintiffs are claiming that they have incurred \$35,488.16 in special damages to date. See Exhibit "B" and Plaintiffs' February 3, 2012, Settlement Letter attached as Exhibit "C." Moreover, Plaintiffs counsel has threatened to pursue all attorney's fees and costs as provided under NRS 18.010. See pg. 3 attached as Exhibit "C."

In circumstances in which the amount of damages sought by plaintiff is unclear, a removing defendant must prove facts supporting jurisdictional amount required for diversity jurisdiction by a preponderance of the evidence, i.e., that it is "more likely than not" that amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. Simmons v. PCR Technology 209 F.Supp.2d 1029 (2002) (citing 28 U.S.C.A. §§ 1332(a)1441(a)).

In this instant matter, it is more likely than not that the amount in controversy will exceed \$75,000 though Plaintiffs do not express an exact amount of damages in their Complaint.

However, the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates that the amount in controversy can be easily reached based on the following factors: (1) the numerous claims for relief sought, including but not limited to loss of consortium by Mr. Joseph; (2) the alleged permanent and disabling injuries alleged; (3) the special damages incurred to date, i.e. \$35,488.16 and Plaintiffs' counsel requesting their attorney's fees and costs.

Therefore, it is wholly reasonable that these cumulative claims for damages meet the requisite amount in controversy under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, Petitioner New Albertson's, Inc. prays that the above entitled action be removed from the Eighth Judicial District Court in and for Clark County, Nevada. DATED this 11 day of Februar, 2012. Respectfully Submitted, BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN By: Jack P. Burden, Esq. 3050 South Durango Drive Las Vegas, NV 89117 Attorneys for Defendant New Albertson's, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I am a resident of and employed in Clark County, Nevada. I am over the age of 18 years 2 and not a party to the within action. My business address is: 3050 South Durango Drive, Las 3 Vegas, Nevada, 89117. 4 On J. 17, 2012, I served this document on the parties listed on the attached 5 service list via one or more of the methods of service described below as indicated next to the 6 name of the served individual or entity by a checked box: 7 VIA U.S. MAIL: by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada. I am 8 "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence by mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that 9 same day with postage fully prepaid at Las Vegas, Nevada in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for 10 mailing an affidavit. 11 VIA FACSIMILE: by transmitting to a facsimile machine maintained by the attorney or the party who has filed a written consent for such manner of service. 12 BY PERSONAL SERVICE: by personally hand-delivering or causing to be hand delivered by such designated individual whose particular duties include delivery of such on 13 behalf of the firm, addressed to the individual(s) listed, signed by such individual or his/her representative accepting on his/her behalf. A receipt of copy signed and dated by such an individual confirming delivery of the document will be maintained with the 14 document and is attached. 15 BY E-MAIL: by transmitting a copy of the document in the format to be used for attachments to the electronic-mail address designated by the attorney or the party who has 16 filed a written consent for such manner of service. 17 I declare that under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that the above 18 is true and correct. I further declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of 19 this court at whose direction the service was made. 20 21 22 An employee of BACKUS, CARRANZA & BURDEN 23 24

SERVICE LIST

2				
3	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD	PARTIES REPRESENTED	METH	IOD OF SERVICE
4	Bradley S. Mainor, Esq.	Plaintiff		Personal service
5	MAINOR WIRTH, LLP			Email service Fax service
6	1215 S. Fort Apache, Suite 120 Las Vegas, NV 89117			Mail service
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25		6		

Exhibit A

Service of Process

Transmittal 01/31/2012

CT Log Number 519895775

Rebecca Holmquist TO:

SuperValu Inc.

SuperValu Risk Management 70700, 250 Park Center Boulevard

Boise, ID 83726

Process Served in Nevada RE:

New Albertsons, Inc. (Domestic State: OH) FOR:

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

Michele A. Joseph etc. and Demetrius Joseph, etc., Pltfs. vs. New Albertson's, Inc., TITLE OF ACTION:

etc., et al., Dits.

Name discrepancy noted.

Summons, Cover Sheet, Complaint DOCUMENT(S) SERVED:

Clark County District Court, NV **COURT/AGENCY:**

Case # A12654296C

Personal Injury - Defendant's failure to dispense correct madication to the correct **MATURE OF ACTION:**

patron as ordered, due to which plaintiff sustained injuries

The Corporation Trust Company of Nevada, Carson City, NV on whom process was served:

By Process Server on 01/31/2012 at 11:50 DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE:

Nevada JURISDICTION SERVED:

Within 20 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE:

ATTORNEY(S) / SEMDER(S):

Bradley S. Mainor Mainor Wirth, LLP 1215 S. Fort Apache Rd. Ste. 120

Las Vegas, NV 89117 702-464-5000

CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 01/31/2012, Expected Purge Date: ACTION ITEMS:

02/05/2012

Image SOP

Email Notification, Rebecca Holmquist rebecca.holmquist@supervalu.com

The Corporation Trust Company of Nevada SIGNED:

Amy McLaren PER:

311 South Division Street ADDRESS: Carson City, NV 89703 800-592-9023

TELEPHONE:

Page 1 of 1 / VG

Information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves. Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action. Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not

_ >,%		Case 2:12-cv-00262-JCM-GWF Document 1 Filed 02/17/12 Page 9 of 26	
•		ORIGINAL	
	2	SUMM	
	3	DISTRICT COURT	
		CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
	4		
	5	MICHELE A. JOSEPH, individually, DEMETRIUS	
	6	JOSEPH, individually,	
	7) Plaintiffs.	
	8	j j	
	9	V. CASE NO.: A-12-654296-C	
1		NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC., DEPT. NO.: XXI	
1:	1	A Foreign Corporation, d/b/a SAV-ON DRUGS, STIMPAGES	
12	2	DOES I through X; inclusive, and	
13	3	ROES I through X; inclusive,	
14		Defendants.	
15	COLUMN THE PARTY OF	NOTE CONTINUE DE LA C	
16 17	11.	NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.	
18	* 446	TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil Complaint has been filed by the plaintiff against you for the relief at forth in	
19	Annual Control of the	NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC., a Foreign Corporation, d/b/a SAV-ON DRUGS	
20	Ministering of the second of t	. If you intend to defend this lawsuit within 20 down about 5	
21	S	. If you intend to defend this lawsuit, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you exclusive of the day of a. File with the Clerk of this Court when a state of the co	
22			
23	1 2	b. Serve a copy of your response upon the attorney whose name and address is shown below.	
24	ju	Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff and this Court may enter a dement against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property other relief requested in the Complaint.	
25		•	
26	//		
27	//		
28	//		
The state of the s	11		
TYTEOGRAPHICS.			

i.ha	Case 2:12-cv-00262-JCM-GWF Document 1 Filed 02/17/12 Page 10 of 26					
•						
1						
2	3. If you intend to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.					
3	Issued at the direction of: STEVEN GRIERSON, CLERK OF COURT					
4 5	M J. M					
6	BRADIEV & MAINOR ESO					
7	Nevada Bac No 7434 County Courthouse					
8	JOSEPH J. WIRTH, ESQ. 200 Lewis Avenue Nevada Bar No. 10280 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 1215 s. Ft. Apache Rd., Ste. 120					
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 (702) 464-5000					
10	(702) 463-4440 Facsimile Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
11						
12						
13	·					
14						
15						
16						
17 18	·					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
1						

- 27

CIVIL COVER SHEET

A-12-654296-C

Clark County, Nevada

XXI

Case No. (Assigned by Clerk's Office) I. Party Information Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone): MICHELE JOSEPH, Defendant(s) (name/address/phone): NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC., d/b/a SAV-ON DRUGS DEMETRIUS JOSEPH эў. Attorney (name/address/phone): Amorney (name/address/phone): Bradley S. Mainor, Esq. / Joseph J. Wirth, Esq. N/A. 1215 S. Ft. Apache Rd., Ste. 120 N/A (702) 464-5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Arbitration Requested II. Nature of Confroversy (Please check applicable bold category and applicable subcategory, if appropriate) Civil Cases Torts Real Property Negligence Product Linbility Landlord/Tenant ☐ Negligence - Auto Product Liability/Motor Vehicle
Other Torts/Product Liability Unlawful Detainer Megligence - Medical/Dental Title to Property Megligence - Premises Liability: Intentional Misconduct Forcelosure ☐ Torts/Defamation (Libel/Stander) (Stip/Fall) ☐ Liens Interfere with Confract Rights Negligence - Other Ouiel Tatle Employment Torls (Wrongfultermination) Specific Performance Other Toris Condémnation/Eminent Dómain Anti-Intst
Fraud/Misrepresentation Other Real Property Insurance Partition. Legal Tort Legar row
Unfair Competition Planning/Zoning Other Civil Filing Types Probate Appeal from Lower Court (also check Construction Defect 🔲 Summary Administration applicable civil case box) Chapter 40 General Administration Transfer from Justice Court General I Justice Court Civil Appeal Special Administration Breach of Contract Building & Construction Civil Writ Set Askie Estates Insurance Carrier Other Special Proceeding Trust/Conservatorships Commercial Instrument Other Civil Filing. Individual Trusiee Other Contracts/Acct/Judgment Compromise of Minor's Claim
Conversion of Property Collection of Actions Corporate Trustee Employment Contract Damage to Property Other Probate Guarantee Employment Security Sale Contract Enforcement of Judgment. Uniform Commercial Gode Foreign Judgment - Civil Other Personal Property Civil Petition for Judicial Review Olber Administrative Law Recovery of Property Department of Motor Vehicles Stockholder Suit Worker's Compensation Appeal Other Civil Maiters III. Business Court Requested (Please check applicable category, for Clark or Washoe Counties only.) ☐ NRS Chapters 78-88
☐ Commodities (NRS 90)
☐ Securities (NRS 90) ☐ Trivestments (NRS 104 Art. 8)
☐ Deceptive Trade Practices (NRS 598) Enhanced Case Migmt/Business Other Business Court Matters Trademarks (NRS 600A) January 5, 2012 Signature of initiating party dr representative Date.

Exhibit B

1	Case 2:12-cv-00262-JCM-GWF Document 1 Filed 02/17/12 Page 13 of 26 Electronically Filed 01/05/2012 04:01:56 PM
erj;	COMP. Sten to Chairm
2	THE THE R. CASTON
3	BRADLEY S. MAINOR, ESQ. CLERK OF THE COURT Nevada Bar No. 7434
4:	JOSEPH J. WIRTH, ESQ.
5	Nevada Bar No. 10280 MAINOR WIRTH, LLP
Ğ.	1215 S. Fort Apache, Ste. 120
٠. ٠٠:	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 (702) 464-5000
·	(702) 463-4440 Facsimile
8;	bmainor@mainorwirth.com jwirth@mainorwirth.com
9.	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
:10:	DISTRICT COURT
. 11	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
.1.2	
-13	MICHELE A. JOSEPH.) individually, DEMETRIUS)
14	JOSEPH, individually,
.15	Plaintiffs,)
16) A-12-654296-C v. CASE NO.:
17) DEPT. NO.: XXI
j8	NEW ALBERTSÖN'S, INC., A Foreign Corporation, d/b/a)
7,9	SAV-ON DRUGS,
20	DOES I through X, inclusive, and ') ROES I through X; inclusive, ')
21)
Ž Ž	Defendants,)
23	
24	<u>COMPLAINT</u>
25	Plaintiffs, MICHELE A. JOSEPH and DEMETRIUS JOSEPH, by and through their
26	atterneys of record, BRADLEY S. MAINOR, ESQ. and JOSEPH J. WIRTH, ESQ. of the law firm
27	of MAINOR WIRTH allege as follows:
2,8	An ristant 1000 it repart mired an antera and

Ĩ.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 1. That at all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff, MICHELE A. JOSEPH, is and was a resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- 2. That at all time relevant hereto, Plaintiff, DEMETRIUS JOSEPH, is and was a resident of the County of Glark, State of Nevada.
- 3. That Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that Defendant, DOE EMPLOYEE I, is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- That Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that Defendant NEW ALBERTSON'S, INC., d/b/a SAV-ON DRUGS, is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a foreign Corporation duly licensed under the laws of the State of Nevada, and doing business in Clark County, State of Nevada.
- At all times relevant herein, the Defendants Does I through X, inclusive, were and are now pharmaceutical personnel and/or employees of SAV-ON DRUGS, holding themselves out as duly licensed to practice or conduct their professions or business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Nevada and are not engaged in the practice of their professions and/or business in the State of Nevada; the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendants DOES I through X inclusive, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive, are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue those Defendants by such fictitious names, the Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereupon allege that each of the Defendants sued herein as DOES I through X are responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, which thereby proximately caused the injuries and damages to the

Plaintiffs as alleged herein; that when the true names and capacities of such Defendants become known, Plaintiffs will ask leave to amend this Complaint to insert the true names, identities and capacities, together with proper charges and allegations.

- At all relevant times, Defendants, ROE CORPORATIONS, Librough X, were and now are opporations, firms, partnerships, associations, other legal entities involving the dispensing of medication to the Plaintiffs herein; that the true names, identities or capacities whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of the Defendants, ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive are presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names; that the Plaintiff are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of the Defendants sued herein as ROE CORPORATIONS I through X are responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to, which thereby proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH alleged herein; that when their true names and capacities of such Defendants become known, Plaintiffs will ask leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert the true name, identities and capacities, together with proper charges and allegations.
- 7. At all times relevant herein, Defendants, and each of them, were the agents, ostensible agents, servants, employees, employers, partners, co-owners and/or joint venturers of each other and of their co-defendants, and were acting within the color, purpose and scope of their employment, agency, ownership and/or joint ventures and by reason of such relationships the Defendants, and each of them, are vicariously and jointly and severally responsible and liable for the acts and/or omissions of their co-Defendants.
- 8. The Defendants, and each of them, authorized, approved, consented to and/or ratified the act of its agents, servants, employees, co-owners and each other and as a result thereof are liable for damages.

27

28

Plaintiffs' claims arise out of the errors, mistakes and carelessness of the Defendants by wrongfully and negligently dispensing Lisinopril (a high blood pressure medication) in lieu of Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH's true and actual prescription for Soma (a muscle relaxant/pain reliever). As a result, Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH ingested the Lisinopril, and suffered severe injuries due to the same.

II.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence

- 10. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 9 above as if fully set forth herein.
- On or about January 2, 2011, Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH's physician's office contacted the Say-On Pharmacy, located inside the Albertson's store at 1001 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Las Vegas, NV 89128, to authorize a refill of Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH's prescription for Soma.
- 12. On or about January 14, 2011, Plaintiff DEMETRIUS JOSEPH (Plaintiff MICHELLEJOSEPH's spouse) went to the Sav-On to retrieve MICHELE's prescription. He was negligently and carelessly given a prescription of the drug, Lisinopril, which was issued to a Michael (not Michele) Joseph, by one of Sav-On's pharmacists and/or pharmaceutical employees, Defendant DOE EMPLOYEE. Plaintiff MICHELE ingested one of the pills and as the medication was meant to treat high blood pressure—a condition she did not have—she subsequently became ill and required medical treatment for her injuries.
- 13. Defendants, individually and by and through its employees under its direction and control, failed to properly supervise, monitor, review and/or otherwise implement quality control

IJ

9

12

1.3:

1.4 1.5

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

232425

26

27 28 procedures to prevent the unauthorized and/or incorrect dispensing of prescription medication. If appropriate systems were in place, or alternatively proper quality control measure were instituted, proper procedures would have been followed and the improper prescription would not have been given to Plaintiff MICHELE IOSEPH. Consequently, Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH would not have suffered injuries as a result of the mix up in prescriptions.

- 14. But for the failure of Defendants, and each of them, to properly dispense the correct medication to the correct patron as ordered, and the lack of appropriate training and supervision.

 Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH would not have suffered injuries.
- 15. At said time and place, Defendants, and each of them owed a duty to ensure the proper dispensing of medication to its customers.
- 16. In complete disregard of this duty, Defendants, and each of them, failed to ensure that the proper medication was dispensed to the proper recipient, in an extremely reckless, negligent and careless manner.
- 17. Defendants, and each of them, willfully and wantonly disregarded the rights and safety of Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH.
- 18. Defendant, DOE EMPLOYEE's failure to properly dispense the medication caused Plaintiff MICHELE to be injured.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff MICHELE was seriously injured and caused to suffer great pain of body and mind, all or some of which may be permanent and disabling in nature, aggravating to their general and compensatory damages in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00).
- As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff MICHELE incurred expenses for medical care, treatment and expenses incidental

27

28.

thereto, and Plaintiff MICHELE may be required in the future to incur expenses for medical care and treatment.

21. That Plaintiffs have been required to retain the services of MAINOR WIRTH to prosecute this action and are entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

III.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Negligence and Negligent Hiring and Supervision

- 22. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 21 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. That Defendant, New Albertson, Inc., d/b/a Sav-On drugs, owed a duty to Plaintiffs to employ competent pharmaceutical staff and personnel, including clerks, supervisors, pharmacists and managers adequately trained to appropriately dispense prescriptions pursuant to physician authorized orders:
- 24. As a result of the unauthorized, incorrect and inadequate pharmaceutical service or lack thereof of the Defendants, the Defendants breached their duty to the Plaintiffs by failing to employ personnel adequately trained to protect its customers from foreseeable harm, resulting in Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH's injuries.
- 25. As a proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH was given high blood pressure medication in lieu of her muscle relaxant which caused Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH's injuries.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them,
 Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH was seriously injured and caused to suffer great pain of body and
 mind, all or some of which may be permanent and disabling in nature, aggravating to their general

and compensatory damages in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000,00).

- 27. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH incurred expenses for medical care, treatment and expenses incidental thereto, and Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH may be required in the future to incur expenses for medical care and treatment.
- 28. That Plaintiffs have been required to retain the services of MAINOR WIRTH to prosecute this action and are entitled to reasonable attorneys? fees and costs incurred herein.

IV.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Corporate Negligence/Vicarious Liability

- 29. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 28 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. Defendant, New Albertson's, Inc., d/b/a Sav-On Drugs' employees were acting in the scope of their employment, under Defendant's control, and in furtherance of Defendant's interest at the time their actions ultimately caused injuries to Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH.
- 31. Defendant, New Albertson's, Inc., d/b/a Sav-On Drugs, is vicariously liable for damages resulting from their agents' and/or employees' negligent actions and/or omissions against Plaintiff, during the scope of their employment.
- 32. As a result of the negligent acts and/or omissions of Defendant New Albertson's, Inc., d/b/a Sav-On Drugs' employees, Defendant breached its duty to the Plaintiffs by failing to employ professional personnel and employees adequately trained to protect its customers from foreseeable harm.
 - 33. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them,

28

Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH was seriously injured and caused to suffer great pain of body and mind, all or some of which may be permanent and disabling in nature, aggravating to their general and compensatory damages in an amount in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00).

- 34. As a further direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH incurred expenses for medical care, treatment and expenses incidental thereto, and Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH may be required in the future to incur expenses for medical care and treatment.
- 35. That Plaintiffs have been required to retain the services of MAINOR WIRTH to prosecute this action and are entitled to reasonable attorneys! fees and costs incurred herein.

 V_*

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Loss of Consortium

- 36. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 35 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. Plaintiff DEMETRIUS JOSEPH, as the lawful husband of the Plaintiff MICHELE JOSEPH, was and is entitled to the society, comfort, affection, services, companionship and consortium of his wife, MICHELE JOSEPH.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of said Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff DEMETRIUS JOSEPH, has been denied the society, comfort, affection, services, companionship and consortium of his wife, MICHELE JOSEPH.
- 39. Plaintiffs have been required to retain the services of MAINOR WIRTH to prosecute this action and are entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment of this Court as follows:

- 1. General damages in an amount in excess of \$10,000.00;
- 2. Medical and incidental expenses incurred and to be incurred;
- 3. Costs of suit, reasonable attorney fees, interest incurred herein; and
- 4. For other and further relief as is just and proper.

DATED this day of January, 2012.

MAINOR WIRTH, LLP

BRADLEY & MAINOR, ESQ

Nevada Bar Mo. 7434

JOSEPH J. WIRTH, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 10280

1215 S. Fort Apache Rd. Ste. 120

Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

(702) 464-5000

(702) 463-4440 Facsimile

Attomeys for Plaintiffs

Case 2:12-cv-00262-JCM-GWF Document 1 Filed 02/17/12 Page 22 of 26

Transmittal

01/31/2012

CT Log Number 519895775

Service of Process

TO: Rebecca Holmquist

SuperValu Inc.

SuperValu Risk Management 70700, 250 Park Center Boulevard

Boise, ID 83726

ME: Process Served in Nevada

FOR: New Albertsons, Inc. (Domestic State: OH)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: Michele A. Joseph etc. and Demetrius Joseph, etc., Pktfs. vs. New Albertson's, Inc.,

etc., et al., Dfts.

Name discrepancy noted.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: Summons, Cover Sheet, Complaint

COURT/AGENCY: Clark County District Court, NV

Case # A12654296C

NATURE OF ACTION: Personal Injury - Defendant's failure to dispense correct madication to the correct

patron as ordéred, due to which plaintiff sustained injuries

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: The Corporation Trust Company of Nevada, Carson City, NV

By Process Server on 01/31/2012 at 11:50 DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE:

JURISDICTION SERVED: Nevada

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: Within 20 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S): Bradley S. Mainor Mainor Wirth, LLP

1215 S. Fort Apache Rd. Ste. 120 Las Vegas, NV 89117 702-464-5000

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 01/31/2012, Expected Purge Date:

02/05/2012

Image SOP

Email Notification, Rebecca Holmquist rebecca.holmquist@supervalu.com

SIGNED: The Corporation Trust Company of Nevada

Amy McLaren PER:

ADDRESS: 311 South Division Street

Carson City, NV 89703 800-592-9023 TELEPHONE:

Page 1 of 1 / VG

Information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves. Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action. Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not contents.

Exhibit C

Bradley S. Mainor, Esq.
Joseph J. Wirth, Esq.
Daniel F. Lippmann, Esq.

February 3, 2012

<u>Via Certified Mail:</u> 7010 2780 0002 2089 1807

Sedgwick CMS
Attn: Shelley Hippen
11000 Prairie Lakes Dr.
Eden Prairie, MN 55344

Re: Your Insured:

Albertsons, Inc./ Sav-On Pharmacy

Claimants:

Michele Joseph

Our Case No.:

200113

Date of Loss:

January 14, 2011

Dear Ms. Hippen,

Enclosed are the materials substantiating our client's claim arising out of an incident that occurred on January 14, 2011.

<u>LIABILITY</u>

This incident occurred on Friday, January 14, 2011. Mrs. Joseph was the recipient of medication that was <u>NOT</u> prescribed to her. Pharmacists owe a duty to care to the people whose prescriptions they fill. A Pharmacist has a degree and holds himself out as having the training and ability to accurately fill prescriptions. Pharmacists are therefore held to a high duty of care that requires absolute accuracy in order processing. In this case, there is no disputing the following:

- Savon owed Mrs. Joseph a duty of care
- Savon breached that duty of care by giving her the wrong medication
- The breach of that duty of care caused Mrs. Joseph damages
- Savon is responsible for causing those damages

The damages are outlined in the following section.

Shelley Hippen/ Claims Dept. February 3, 2012 Re: Michele Joseph Page 2 of 3

INJURIES

- CONGESTIVE HEART FAILURE
- ♦ HYPOXIA
- ♦ DIZZINESS
- **♦** HEADACHES
- ♦ COUGHING
- ♦ SHORTNESS OF BREATH
- **♦** LIGHTHEADNESS

MEDICAL EXPENSES

#	Provider Name(s):	Service Date(s):	Billing Amount(s):	
1	City of Las Vegas-EMS	01/14/2011	Total:	838.16
2	Mountainview Hospital	01/14/2011-01/17/2011	Total:	30,635.00
3	Fremont Emergency Services	01/14/2011	Total:	722.00
4	Radiology Specialists, Ltd.	01/14/2011-02/11/2011	Total:	18500
5	Mountainview Hospital	02/01/2011	Total:	3,108.00
SUBTOTAL: \$35,488.10				

MEDICAL SUMMARY

Following the ingestion of Lisinopril, Mrs. Joseph started experiencing coughing, dizziness, breath shortages and felt like she was going to faint. Due to her symptoms, Mr. Joseph called 911 for an ambulance to respond to their home. An emergency response team from City of Las Vegas Fire and Rescue responded to the scene. They noted that the patient's chief complaint was drug overdose. Based on the emergency response team's assessment, it was noted that Mrs. Joseph was having a medication reaction. The response team treated her under general patient care protocol and transported her to Mountainview Hospital.

Upon arrival to Mountainview Hospital, Michele was evaluated by Wenlan Cheng, M.D. Dr. Cheng noted that Mrs. Joseph had not had similar symptoms previously. Dr. Cheng ordered lab work, a chest x-ray and an EKG be performed. The chest x-ray revealed findings that were compatible with congestive heart failure. Due to her prior heart conditions and the presence of Hypoxia, Dr. Cheng ordered Mrs. Joseph to be admitted for observation. Mrs. Joseph stayed in the hospital until January 17, 2011, at which time she was discharged in stable condition.

On February 1, 2011, Michele presented back to Mountainview Hospital to have a CT

Shelley Hippen/ Claims Dept. February 3, 2012 Re: Michele Joseph Page 3 of 3

scan of the head performed. At the time of the initial hospitalization, Mrs. Joseph was placed on blood thinners that were causing headaches. Based on her complaint of ongoing headaches, her doctor recommended that she have this particular test performed.

DEMAND

Mrs. Joseph's medical expenses are reasonable and the injuries well documented. It is obvious that our client sustained injuries as a result of this incident. Her life has been affected in numerous ways, including the obvious pain, suffering, inconvenience, and aggravation she has suffered.

You have the opportunity to settle this case within your insured's policy limits. If the case is not settled, we will move forward with the litigation process and will pursue the full value of this case in addition to attorney's fees and costs as provided under NRS 18.010 which states:

"It is the intent of the Legislature that the court award attorney's fees pursuant to this paragraph . . . to punish for and deter frivolous or vexatious claims and defenses because such claims and defenses overburden limited judicial resources, hinder the timely resolution of meritorious claims and increase the costs of engaging in business and providing professional services to the public."

We look forward to hearing from you with regard to my client's demand.

Sincerely,

Bradley S. Mainor, Esq. MAINOR WIRTH, LLP

BSM/yag

Enclosures (as stated)

cc: Michele Joseph

Jack P. Burden, Esq.